

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,015	02/03/2006	Katsuyuki Arimoto	2006_0089A	9414
52349 WENDEROTI	7590 01/04/201 H. LIND & PONACK I	EXAM	UNER	
1030 15th Stre			CERULLO, LILIANA P	
Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/567,015	ARIMOTO ET AL			
Examiner	Art Unit			
LILIANA CERULLO	2629			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

earned patent	term adjustment.	See 37 CFR 1.704(D).	

Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{03\ Decembe}$ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is	s non-final.				
3)[_	Since this application is in condition for allowance exceed closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte</i>					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 14-26 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>14-26</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or electio	n requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or	<ul><li>b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.</li></ul>				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(	s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is red	uired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority  All b) Some * c) None of:	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have to	een received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have to	een received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).				
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the co	ertified copies not received.				
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 51 Notice of Informal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				
S. Patent and T TOL-326 (R	rademark Office Rev. 08-06) Office Action Sum	mary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20091228				

Application/Control Number: 10/567,015 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

### DETAILED ACTION

In an amendment dated, 12/03/2009, the Applicant amended claims 14 and 26. Currently claims 14-26 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 14-16 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohi et al. in US5,847,688 (hereinafter Ohi) in view of Matsushita in Japanese Publication JP2003-255908-A (hereinafter Matsushita) and Greier et al. in US 2002/0149598 (hereinafter Greier).

Please note that the English abstract provided in the IDS was used to support an explanation of the pictures in JP2003-255908-A.

- Regarding claims 14 and 25, Ohi teaches a matrix-type display apparatus (Figs. 6, 7) which drives a display panel (LCD Panel Fig. 6) including a plurality of pixels (Fig. 7) disposed in matrix form (as shown in Fig. 7) and displays an image (col. 1 lines 11-22), comprising:
- a converting portion (Fig. 6, elements 14-15) adapted to gamma-convert (Fig. 6, element 15) an input video signal (Fig. 6, RGB input signal 11), using n pairs of gamma-

Art Unit: 2629

characteristics each made up of first and second gamma-characteristics (Fig. 6, elements 14-15, where three pairs are shown, each made of gamma-1 and gamma-2 for each one of R, G and B. Also note Fig. 5 where gamma-1 and gamma-2 are gamma curves i.e. gamma characteristics) different from each other (as shown in Fig. 5), the gamma-characteristics (gamma-1 and gamma-2 of Figs. 5-6) being a transmittance characteristic (col. 5 lines 25-39 and Fig. 2C where the gamma curves gamma-1 and gamma-2 are transmittance characteristics at different angles) according to an input level (Vin of Fig. 5); and

a selecting portion (Fig. 6, control 20) adapted to specify a transmittance (gamma characteristic of col. 5 lines 64-67) to be used for display (col. 5 lines 20-24 where the output voltage used for display of pixels in Figs. 6-7 has a specified [desired] gamma characteristic. Please note that specification of a transmittance, see Fig. 2C, results in a practical specification of a gamma curve according to viewing angle, see Fig. 5 and desired output voltage) based on the input video signal (Vin of Fig. 5 which is an input image signal per col. 4 lines 12-14), to select one pair of gamma-characteristics (gamma-1 and gamma-2 for either R or G or B in Figs. 6-7) from among the n pairs of gamma-characteristics (three pairs shown in Fig. 6) according to the specified transmittance to be used for display (as explained above, the specified transmittance results in a specified gamma curve and output voltage of Figs. 2C and 5), and to select an output supplied to the display panel (Fig. 7) from among the 2n outputs (where 2n outputs are gamma-1 or gamma-2 for each RGB) which are gamma- corrected by said converting portion (Fig. 6, elements 14-15), so that a ratio between a first distribution

Art Unit: 2629

area of pixels driven by the video signal gamma-corrected by use of the first gamma-characteristic of the selected pairs of gamma-characteristics (number of pixels driven by gamma-1 for either R or G or B in Fig. 7) and a second distribution area of pixels driven by the video signal gamma-corrected by use of the second gamma-characteristic of the selected pairs of gamma-characteristics (number of pixels driven by gamma-2 for either R or G or B in Fig. 7) is equal to a distribution area ratio specified in advance for the selected pairs of gamma-characteristics (Fig. 7 nth frame or Fig. 6 and col. 6 lines 53-59 referring to the first embodiment where adjacent pixel dots have different use different gamma conversion tables. Thus the ratio of number\_of\_red\_pixels\_with\_gamma-1 to the number\_of\_red\_pixels\_with\_gamma-2 is 1/1, and the same applies for green and blue).

Ohi fails to disclose the n pairs of gamma characteristics being different from each other (Three pairs each of gamma 1 and gamma 2, one pair for each R, G and B. The pairs are the same as shown in Fig. 6), and according to the transmittance to be used for display, a different pair of gamma-characteristics and a different distribution area ratio are used.

However, Matsushita discloses different pairs of gamma characteristics (Matsushita. Fig. 1, three pairs each for a different color: Rγ1 and Rγ2, Gγ1 and Gγ2, Bγ1 and Bγ2. Also see Fig. 11 two pairs for either video or still image: γ1 and γ2, γ1' and γ2'), and according to the transmittance to be used for display, using a different pair of gamma characteristics (Matsushita, Abstract and Figs. 1 and 11. Where the transmittance to be used for display can be interpreted as either the transmittance of

Art Unit: 2629

either R, G or B in the input signal, and a different pair of gamma characteristic Ry1 and Ry2 for red, Gy1 and Gy2 for green, By1 and By2 for blue is used as shown in Fig. 1.

Or, the transmittance can be interpreted as the transmittance of the input image to be used for the display as either moving image or not, and a different pair of gamma characteristics y1 and y2, y1' and y2' is used as shown in Fig. 11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have n pairs of gamma-characteristics being different from each other (as taught by Matsushita in Figs. 1 and 11) and use them according to the transmittance to be used for the display (as taught by Matsushita in Fig. 1, 11 and the abstract) in Ohi's invention, in order to obtain the benefit of further improving the viewing angle depending of the image to be displayed, specifically in the case of moving image vs. still image where suitable control of each color may be required for better resolution (Matsushita, Abstract).

Still, Ohi in view of Matsushita do not teach using a different distribution area ratio according to the transmittance to be used for display.

Nevertheless, Greier disclose an LCD with improved viewing angle (Greier, para. 2) where some pixels are made brighter and some darker (Greier, para. 82 and Figs. 20-21 where the hatched pixels are dark and the white pixels are bright) and the distribution area ratio adapts to the image content (Greier, para. 85, where the distribution area ratio is the ratio of bright/dark pixels, and it can be e.g. 50% bright, 33% bright and others according to the image content). Thus, it would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use different

distribution area ratios (as shown in Greier Figs. 20-21, dark vs. bright) according to the transmittance to be used for display (Greier, para. 82 referring to the image content) in order to obtain the benefit of further adapting the pixel data values in such way that the luminance is locally preserved in the image (Greier, para. 82) as regions of the image change (Greier, para. 85).

- 4. Regarding **claim 15**, Ohi in view of Matsushita and Greier teach wherein a block comprises (n+1) pixels (from Ohi's Fig. 6, n=3, thus n+1=4, which would include 4 pixels of the same color, for example in Ohi's Fig. 7, first column, third column and two first rows, which are all red pixels will form a block of 4); and said selecting portion (Ohi, Fig. 6, control 20) selects an output supplied to the display panel (Ohi, Fig. 6, LCD panel) from among the 2n outputs (Ohi, Fig. 6, six outputs which are either gamma-1 or gamma-2 for either R or G or B. Also see Matsushita Fig. 1) which are gamma-corrected by said converting portion (Ohi, Fig. 6, elements 14-15), so that the ratio between the first distribution area and the second distribution area (recall that this ratio is the ratio of the number of pixels driven by gamma-1 and number of pixels driven by gamma-2 in Ohi's Fig. 7, which is equal to 1/1) is equal to the distribution area ratio in the block (which is 4 red pixels : 4 pixels in a block or 4/4 = 1).
- 5. Regarding claim 16, Ohi in view of Matsushita and Greier teach wherein the ratio of the first distribution area per block with the area of the pixels per block (Recall that there are 4 pixels of the same color in a block, e.g. 4 red pixels in Ohi's Fig. 7 as

Art Unit: 2629

explained for claim 15. This ratio is therefore the number of red pixels driven by gamma-1 in a block of 4 red pixels, or 2/4 in Ohi's Fig. 7) and the ratio of the second distribution area per block with the area of the pixels per block (the number of red pixels driven by gamma-2 in a block of 4 red pixels, or 2/4 in Ohi's Fig. 7) for each pair of gamma-characteristics (recall that each pair is either for red, green or blue) are selected out of k/(n+1) and (n+1-k)/(n+1), where k is an integer of one to n (where k=2, thus k/(n+1) = (n+1-k)/(n+1) = 2/4).

- 6. Regarding **claim 23**, Ohi in view of Matsushita and Greier teach wherein said selecting portion (Ohi, Fig. 6, control 20) selects an output supplied to the display panel (Ohi, Fig. 6, LCD panel) from among the 2n outputs which are gamma-corrected by said converting portion (Ohi, Fig. 6, gamma-1 or gamma-2 for either R or G or B. See also Matsushita Fig. 1), in a pixel made up of a red-pixel, a green-pixel and a blue-pixel (Ohi, col. 6 lines 20-22 and Fig. 7).
- 7. Regarding claim 24, Ohi in view of Matsushita and Greier teach wherein said selecting portion (Ohi, Fig. 6, control 20) selects an output supplied to the display panel (Ohi, Fig. 6, LCD panel) from among the 2n outputs which are gamma-corrected by said converting portion (Ohi, Fig. 6, gamma-1 or gamma-2 for either R or G or B), for each of a red-pixel, a green- pixel and a blue-pixel comprised by one pixel (Ohi, Fig. 7).

Application/Control Number: 10/567,015 Art Unit: 2629

8. Regarding claim 26, Ohi teaches a driving method for a matrix-type display apparatus (Figs. 6, 7) which drives a display panel (LCD Panel Fig. 6) including a plurality of pixels (Fig. 7) disposed in matrix form (as shown in Fig. 7) and displays an image (col. 1 lines 11-22), comprising:

a converting step (Fig. 6, elements 14-15) of gamma-converting (Fig. 6, element 15) an input video signal (Fig. 6, RGB input signal 11), using n pairs of gamma-characteristics which are made up of first and second gamma-characteristics (Fig. 6, elements 14-15, where three pairs are shown, each made of gamma-1 and gamma-2 for each one of R, G and B. Also note Fig. 5 where gamma-1 and gamma-2 are gamma curves i.e. gamma characteristics) different from each other (as shown in Fig. 5), the gamma-characteristics (gamma-1 and gamma-2 of Figs. 5-6) being a transmittance characteristic (col. 5 lines 25-39 and Fig. 2C where the gamma curves gamma-1 and gamma-2 are transmittance characteristics at different angles) according to an input level (Vin of Fig. 5); and

a selecting step (Fig. 6, control 20) of specifying a transmittance (gamma characteristic of col. 5 lines 64-67) to be used for display (col. 5 lines 20-24 where the output voltage used for display of pixels in Figs. 6-7 has a specified [desired] gamma characteristic. Please note that specification of a transmittance, see Fig. 2C, results in a practical specification of a gamma curve according to viewing angle, see Fig. 5 and desired output voltage) based on the input video signal (Vin of Fig. 5 which is an input image signal per col. 4 lines 12-14), selecting one pair of gamma-characteristics (selecting gamma-1 and gamma-2 for either R or G or B in Figs. 6-7) from among the n

Art Unit: 2629

pairs of gamma-characteristics (three pairs shown in Fig. 6) according to the specified transmittance to be used for display (as explained above, the specified transmittance results in a specified gamma curve and output voltage of Figs. 2C and 5), and selecting an output supplied to the display panel (Fig. 7) from among the 2n outputs which are gamma-corrected in the converting step (where 2n outputs are gamma-1 or gamma-2 for each RGB and Fig. 6 elements 14-15), so that a ratio between a first distribution area of pixels driven by the video signal gamma-corrected by use of the first gammacharacteristic of the selected pairs of gamma- characteristics (number of pixels driven by gamma-1 for either R or G or B in Fig. 7) and a second distribution area of pixels driven by the video signal gamma- corrected by use of the second gammacharacteristic of the selected pairs of gamma-characteristics (number of pixels driven by gamma-2 for either R or G or B in Fig. 7) is equal to a distribution area ratio specified in advance for the selected pairs of gamma-characteristics (Fig. 7 nth frame or Fig. 6 and col. 6 lines 53-59 referring to the first embodiment where adjacent pixel dots have different use different gamma conversion tables. Thus the ratio of number of red pixels with gamma-1 to the number of red pixels with gamma-2 is 1/1, and the same applies for green and blue).

Ohi fails to disclose the n pairs of gamma characteristics being different from each other (Three pairs each of gamma 1 and gamma 2, one pair for each R, G and B. The pairs are the same as shown in Fig. 6), and according to the transmittance to be used for display, a different pair of gamma-characteristics and a different distribution area ratio are used.

Art Unit: 2629

However, Matsushita discloses different pairs of gamma characteristics (Matsushita. Fig. 1, three pairs each for a different color: Rγ1 and Rγ2, Gγ1 and Gγ2, Bγ1 and Bγ2. Also see Fig. 11 two pairs for either video or still image: γ1 and γ2, γ1' and γ2'), and according to the transmittance to be used for display, using a different pair of gamma characteristics (Matsushita, Abstract and Figs. 1 and 11. Where the transmittance to be used for display can be interpreted as either the transmittance of either R, G or B in the input signal, and a different pair of gamma characteristic Rγ1 and Rγ2 for red, Gγ1 and Gγ2 for green, Bγ1 and Bγ2 for blue is used as shown in Fig. 1. Or, the transmittance can be interpreted as the transmittance of the input image to be used for the display as either moving image or not, and a different pair of gamma characteristics γ1 and γ2, γ1' and γ2' is used as shown in Fig. 11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have n pairs of gamma-characteristics being different from each other (as taught by Matsushita in Figs. 1 and 11) and use them according to the transmittance to be used for the display (as taught by Matsushita in Fig. 1, 11 and the abstract) in Ohi's invention, in order to obtain the benefit of further improving the viewing angle depending of the image to be displayed, specifically in the case of moving image vs. still image where suitable control of each color may be required for better resolution (Matsushita, Abstract).

Still, Ohi in view of Matsushita do not teach using a different distribution area ratio according to the transmittance to be used for display.

Nevertheless, Greier disclose an LCD with improved viewing angle (Greier, para. 2) where some pixels are made brighter and some darker (Greier, para. 82 and Figs. 20-21 where the hatched pixels are dark and the white pixels are bright) and the distribution area ratio adapts to the image content (Greier, para. 85, where the distribution area ratio is the ratio of bright/dark pixels, and it can be e.g. 50% bright, 33% bright and others according to the image content). Thus, it would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use different distribution area ratios (as shown in Greier Figs. 20-21, dark vs. bright) according to the transmittance to be used for display (Greier, para. 82 referring to the image content) in order to obtain the benefit of further adapting the pixel data values in such way that the luminance is locally preserved in the image (Greier, para. 82) as regions of the image change (Greier, para. 85).

- Claims 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
   Ohi et al. in US 5,847,688 in view of Matsushita in Japanese Publication JP2003-255908-A and Greier et al. in US 2002/0149598 as applied above, in further view of Yamashita et al. in US 2001/0026258 (hereinafter Yamashita).
- 10. Regarding claim 17, Ohi in view of Matsushita and Greier fail to teach a block of one pixel where a first sub-pixel has an area Sa and the second sub-pixels has an area mSa where m>1. However, Yamashita teaches a display wherein a block comprises one pixel (Yamashita, Fio. 1 and para, 41):

Art Unit: 2629

each pixel of the display panel is made up of, as one pixel (Yamashita, Fig. 1), of a combination of adjacent sub-pixels with different light transmittances(Yamashita, para. 41), and in doing so, one pixel is formed by a first sub-pixel (Yamashita, Fig. 1, sub-pixel B) which has a first pixel area Sa (as shown) and a second sub-pixel (Yamashita, Fig. 1, sub-pixel A) which has a second pixel area Sb (Yamashita, Fig. 1, sub-pixel A has an area S, which is 4 times greater than the area of sub-pixel B, thus m=4) so the luminance of each sub-pixel is proportional to the area (Yamashita, para. 42).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary sill in the art at the time of the invention to use Yamashita's combination of adjacent pixels with different transmittance (Yamashita, para. 41) in Oh in view of Matsushita and Greier display (Ohi, Figs. 6-7), because Ohi already teaches that adjacent pixels have different transmittances (Ohi, Fig. 7, two adjacent sub-pixels of the same color, e.g. red driven by gamma-2 in the third column and first line, and red driven by gamma-1 in the first column and first line. Please note that these sub-pixels are adjacent because the RGB pixels to which they belong are adjacent) and doing such combination would provide the benefit of increased high gray scale display in a color LCD (as taught by Yamashita in para. 67).

Consequently, upon combination, two of Ohi's sub-pixels of the same color driven with different transmittance (Ohi, Fig. 7, e.g. red pixel driven with gamma-1 located at the first column first line and, red pixel driven with gamma-2 located at the third column first line) will form one of Yamashita's pixel of Fig. 1 (e.g. Yamashita sub-

Art Unit: 2629

pixel A will be driven by gamma-1, and the other sub-pixel, Yamashita sub-pixel B will be driven by gamma-2).

Therefore the selecting portion (Ohi, Fig. 6, control 20) would selects an output supplied to the display panel (Ohi, Fig. 6, LCD panel) from among the 2n outputs which are gamma corrected by said converting portion (Ohi, Fig. 6, six gamma values shown), so that the ratio of the first distribution area and the second distribution area (Recall that in Ohi, this ratio is the ratio of the number of pixels driven by gamma-1 and number of pixels driven by gamma-2 in Fig. 7 for any given color, e.g. red. Upon combination this ratio is the same as Yamashita sub-pixel B to sub-pixel A or 1/4:4/4) is equal to the distribution area ratio in the block (Yamashita Fig. 1, the distribution area ratio can be interpreted as area of sub-pixel B to area of sub-pixel A, or 1/4:4/4).

11. Regarding **claim 18**, Ohi in view of Matsushita, Greier and Yamashita teach the ratio of the first distribution area with the area of the pixel (Yamashita, Fig. 1, the total area of the pixel is 5/4, thus the ratio of the sub-pixel B with area  $\frac{1}{3}$  is  $\frac{1}{4}$  /  $\frac{5}{4}$  = 1/5) and the ratio of the second distribution area with the area of the pixel (Yamashita, Fig. 1, the total area of the pixel is 5/4, thus the ratio of the sub-pixel A with area 1 is  $\frac{1}{3}$  /  $\frac{1}{3}$  /  $\frac{1}{3}$  for each pair of gamma characteristics are selected out of  $\frac{1}{3}$  (m+1) and  $\frac{1}{3}$  (m+1) (as explained for claim 17, m=4. Then  $\frac{1}{3}$  (m+1) = 1/5 and  $\frac{1}{3}$  and  $\frac{1}{3}$ 

- 12. Regarding **claim 19**, Ohi in view of Matsushita, Greier and Yamashita teach wherein the second pixel area Sb=4Sa (Yamashita Fig. 1, sub-pixel A with area S and sub-pixel B with area S/4) and that the transmittances are different by varying the pixel area (Yamashita para. 61). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the technology available at hand and set the area of Yamashita's sub-pixel A to meet the relation of 1.5Sa  $\leq$  Sb  $\leq$  3Sa, as it was already Yamashita's intention to vary the transmittance and luminance of the sub-pixels by altering their area (Yamashita para. 61).
- 13. Regarding **claim 20**, Ohi in view of Matsushita and Greier fail to teach one pixel where a first sub-pixel has an area Sa and the second sub-pixels has an area mSa where m>1. However, Yamashita teaches a display wherein:

each pixel of the display panel is made up of, as one pixel (Yamashita, Fig. 4), a first sub-pixel (Yamashita, Fig. 4, sub-pixel R) which has a first pixel area Sa (Yamashita, Fig. 4, area 64 of sub-pixel R) and a second sub-pixel (Yamashita, Fig. 4, sub-pixel G) which has a second pixel area Sb (Yamashita, Fig. 4, area 65 of sub-pixel G, where sub-pixel R area 4 has an area S, which is 4 times greater than the area of sub-pixel G area 65, thus m=4) and that the luminance of each sub-pixel is proportional to the area (Yamashita, para, 42); and

a block comprises two pixels (Yamashita, Fig. 4, a block can be formed by pixel R and pixel G).

Art Unit: 2629

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary sill in the art at the time of the invention to use Yamashita's combination of adjacent pixels with different transmittance (Yamashita, para. 41) in Oh in view of Matsushita and Greier display (Ohi, Figs. 6-7), because Ohi already teaches that adjacent pixels have different transmittances (Ohi, Fig. 7, two adjacent sub-pixels of the same color, e.g. red driven by gamma-2 in the third column and first line, and red driven by gamma-1 in the first column and first line. Please note that these sub-pixels are adjacent because the RGB pixels to which they belong are adjacent) and doing such combination would provide the benefit of increased high gray scale display in a color LCD (as taught by Yamashita in para. 67).

Consequently, upon combination, two of Ohi's sub-pixels of the same color driven with different transmittance (Ohi, Fig. 7, e.g. red pixel driven with gamma-1 located at the first column first line and, red pixel driven with gamma-2 located at the third column first line) will form one of Yamashita's pixel of Fig. 1 (e.g. Yamashita sub-pixel A will be driven by gamma-1, and the other sub-pixel, Yamashita sub-pixel B will be driven by gamma-2).

Therefore the selecting portion (Ohi, Fig. 6, control 20) would selects an output supplied to the display panel (Ohi, Fig. 6, LCD panel) from among the 2n outputs which are gamma corrected by said converting portion (Ohi, Fig. 6, six gamma values shown), so that the ratio of the first distribution area and the second distribution area (Recall that in Ohi, this ratio is the ratio of the number of pixels driven by gamma-1 and number of pixels driven by gamma-2 in Fig. 7 for any given color, e.g. red. Upon combination this

ratio is the same as Yamashita sub-pixel B to sub-pixel A or (1/4) / (4/4) = 1/4) is equal to the distribution area ratio in the block (Yamashita Fig. 1, the distribution area ratio can be interpreted as area of two sub-pixels B to area of two sub-pixels A, or (2\*1/4)/(2\*4/4) which is equal to 1/4).

- 14. Regarding **claim 21**, Ohi in view of Matsushita, Greier and Yamashita teach wherein the ratio of the first distribution area with the area of the block (Yamashita, Figs. 1 and 4, the total area of the block is the area of two pixels in Fig. 4, or 2\*5/4, where 5/4 is the area of one pixel of Fig. 1. Thus the ratio of sub-pixels B driven by gamma-1 with total area 2\*1/4, is (2\*1/4) / (2\*5/4) = 1/5) and the ratio of the second distribution area with the area of the block (Yamashita, Fig. 1, the total area of the pixel is 2\*5/4, thus the ratio of the sub-pixels A with driven by gamma-2 with total area 2 is (2) / (2\*5/4) = 4/5) for each pair of gamma characteristics is (Note that m=4, thus 2+2m=10. Thus the 2/(2+2m)=1/5 and 2m/(2+2m)=4/5, which are the same as the ratios explained above).
- 15. Regarding claim 22, Ohi in view of Matsushita, Greier and Yamashita teach wherein the second pixel area Sb=4Sa (Yamashita Fig. 1, sub-pixel A with area S and sub-pixel B with area S/4) and that the transmittances are different by varying the pixel area (Yamashita para. 61). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the technology available at hand and set the area of Yamashita's sub-pixel A to meet the relation of 1.2Sa ≤ Sb ≤ 2Sa, as it was

already Yamashita's intention to vary the transmittance and luminance of the sub-pixels by altering their area (Yamashita para, 61).

## Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14 and 26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

17. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LILIANA CERULLO whose telephone number is

(571)270-5882. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 8AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on 571-272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/L. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Amr Awad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629